From: Margaret Leber
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 12/9/01 7:07pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Margaret Leber

I'm a professional software engineer with over thirty years experience in the industry, and I'm writing to express my extreme concern about some of the language in the proposed settlement.

Section III(J)(2) says that Microsoft need not describe nor license API, Documentation, or Communications Protocols affecting authentication and authorization to companies that don't meet Microsoft's criteria as a business: "...(c) meets reasonable, objective standards established by Microsoft for certifying the authenticity and viability of its business, ..."

This language creates a huge loophole for Microsoft to crush an important element of thier competition today, namely open-source software created under the auspices of non-commercial entities. Considering that only not-for-profit organizations have flourished producing software that competes for technical space with MSFT code (considering they've driven the bulk of the for-profit alternatives out by illegal practices they've already been convicted of) to allow this language to stand will allow MSFT to freeze-out the open-source community through nondisclosure of their interfaces. This will cripple important open-source projects like SAMBA and the Apache Project.

MSFT has been quite vocal in the press about the effect open-source has had on their business. In this country, we don't permit convicted felons to own firearms lest they use them to comit another crime. Please don't hand a convicted monopolist yet another weapon in this battle.